UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JEFFREY WARREN SPINNEY
Petitioner,

v.

Docket No. CR-93-10345-WD Civil Action No.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Respondent,

DODD MOTION

COMES NOW PETITIONER, Jeffrey Warren Spinney, and pursuant to the recent Supreme Court ruling in <u>Dodd v. United States</u>, 125 S.Ct. 2478 (2005), files the instant <u>Dodd Motion</u> for consideration.

The <u>Dodd</u> court held that the AEDPA's one-year limitation for filing a \$2255 motion, grounded upon the claim that the Supreme Court has made a decision retroactive, is triggered on the date that such a decision is handed down, not on the date that the Court declares it to be retroactive.

Thus, in the interest of preserving his claims, Petitioner now gives notice that he is hereby raising a challenge to his unconstitutionally imposed guideline sentence, as contemplated by <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005), and contests his career criminal enhancement which was imposed in violation of <u>Shepard v. United States</u>, 544 U.S. ; 161 L.Ed.2d 205 (2005).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1-5-2006

Jeffrey Warren Spinney Reg. Wo. 15468-018 FCI-McKean County Bradford, PA 16701

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JEFFREY WARREN SPINNEY
Petitioner,

v.

Docket No. CR-93-10345-WD Civil Action No.____

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Respondent,

DODD MOTION

COMES NOW PETITIONER, Jeffrey Warren Spinney, and pursuant to the recent Supreme Court ruling in <u>Dodd v. United States</u>, 125 S.Ct. 2478 (2005), files the instant Dodd Motion for consideration.

The <u>Dodd</u> court held that the AEDPA's one-year limitation for filing a \$2255 motion, grounded upon the claim that the Supreme Court has made a decision retroactive, is triggered on the date that such a decision is handed down, not on the date that the Court declares it to be retroactive.

Thus, in the interest of preserving his claims, Petitioner now gives notice that he is hereby raising a challenge to his unconstitutionally imposed guideline sentence, as contemplated by <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005), and contests his career criminal enhancement which was imposed in violation of <u>Shepard v. United States</u>, 544 U.S.___; 161 L.Ed.2d 205 (2005).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1-5-2006

Jeffrey Warren Spinney Reg. No. 15468-018 FCI-McKean County Bradford, PA 16701

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JEFFREY WARREN SPINNEY
Petitioner,

v.

Docket No. CR-93-10345-WD Civil Action No.____

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Respondent,

DODD MOTION

COMES NOW PETITIONER, Jeffrey Warren Spinney, and pursuant to the recent Supreme Court ruling in <u>Dodd v. United States</u>, 125 S.Ct. 2478 (2005), files the instant Dodd Motion for consideration.

The <u>Dodd</u> court held that the AEDPA's one-year limitation for filing a \$2255 motion, grounded upon the claim that the Supreme Court has made a decision retroactive, is triggered on the date that such a decision is handed down, not on the date that the Court declares it to be retroactive.

Thus, in the interest of preserving his claims, Petitioner now gives notice that he is hereby raising a challenge to his unconstitutionally imposed guideline sentence, as contemplated by <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005), and contests his career criminal enhancement which was imposed in violation of <u>Shepard v. United States</u>, 544 U.S.___; 161 L.Ed.2d 205 (2005).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1-5-2006

Jeffrey Warren Spin Reg. No. 15468-018 FCI-McKean County Bradford, PA 16701